MARIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION (MCERA) PROXY VOTING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE POLICY

Adopted: November 17, 2004 Amended: July 4, 2010 Amended: December 14, 2011 Reviewed: May 6, 2015 Amended: December 15, 2016 Amended: June 10, 2020 Amended: November 3, 2021 Amended: May 3, 2023

Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policies

CONTENTS:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Proxy Voting Policy3. The Board of Directors
- 4. Shareholder Voting Rights5. Shareholder Meetings
- **6.** Executive Compensation
- 7. Director Compensation
- 8. Independent Director Definition
- 9. Policy Review
- 10. Retirement Administrator Certificate

1. Introduction

- 1.1 **Federal and State Law Compliance**
- 1.2 **Disclosed Governance Policies and Ethics Code**
- 1.3 Accountability to Shareholders
- 1.4 Shareholder Participation
- 1.5 **Business Practices and Corporate Citizenship**
- **Governance Practices at Public and Private Companies** 1.6
- 1.7 Reincorporation
- 1.1 Federal and State Law Compliance: MCERA expects that corporations will comply with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations and stock exchange listing standards.
- 1.2 Disclosed Governance Policies and Ethics Code: MCERA believes every company should have written, disclosed governance procedures and policies, an ethics code that applies to all employees and directors, and provisions for its strict enforcement. MCERA posts its proxy voting and corporate governance policies on its Web site (www.mcera.org); it hopes corporate boards will adhere to these policies and adopt similarly appropriate additional policies to best protect shareholders' interests.

- **1.3 Accountability to Shareholders**: In general, MCERA believes that corporate governance structures and practices should protect and enhance accountability to and ensure equal financial treatment of all shareholders. A corporate action should not be taken if its purpose is to reduce accountability to shareholders.
- **1.4 Shareholders Participation**: MCERA also believes shareholders should have meaningful ability to participate in the major fundamental decisions that affect corporate viability, and meaningful opportunities to suggest or nominate director candidates and to suggest processes and criteria for director selection and evaluation.
- **1.5 Business Practices and Corporate Citizenship**: MCERA believes companies should adhere to responsible business practices and practice good corporate citizenship. Promotion, adoption and effective implementation of guidelines for the responsible conduct of business and business relationships are consistent with the fiduciary responsibility of protecting long-term investment interests.
- **1.6 Governance Practices at Public and Private Companies**: MCERA believes good governance practices should be followed by publicly traded companies, private companies and companies in the process of going public. As such, MCERA believes that, consistent with their fiduciary obligations to their limited partners, the general members of venture capital, buyout and other private equity funds should use appropriate efforts to encourage companies in which they invest to adopt long-term corporate governance provisions that are consistent with MCERA's policies.
- **1.7 Reincorporation**: MCERA believes that U.S. companies should not reincorporate to offshore locations because corporate governance structures there are weaker and therefore reduce management accountability to shareholders.

2. Proxy Voting Policy

- 2.1 Proxy Voting Standards by Investment Type
- 2.2 Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

2.1 Proxy Voting – Standards by Investment Type

Public Equity Investments in Separate Accounts

Proxy voting may be performed by staff, contracted to a third-party vendor, or delegated to the investment manager in accordance with MCERA's Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policy.

U.S. Equity Commingled Accounts

Where possible, for U.S. equity commingled accounts, MCERA will vote proxies in the companies in which MCERA holds an indirect public equity interest, in accordance with the guidelines set forth in MCERA's Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policy.

Other Commingled Funds

MCERA will inform the commingled funds in which it invests of MCERA's Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policy on at least an annual basis. MCERA will work with the commingled fund managers to, where possible, follow MCERA's proxy voting policies. However, MCERA accepts the fact that commingled fund managers have their own policies and might not be able or willing to follow MCERA's policies in all regards, and thus MCERA is prepared to accept in these cases the alternative policy adopted by and used by the commingled fund manager.

2.2 Proxy Voting – Policies and Procedures

MCERA acknowledges that the ownership of equities requires proxies to be voted. MCERA commits to managing its proxy voting rights with the same care, skill, diligence and prudence as is exercised in managing its other assets. As responsible fiduciaries, the Board of Retirement will exercise its proxy voting rights in the sole interest of the Plan's members and beneficiaries in accordance with all applicable statutes. Furthermore, the following policies and procedures shall be utilized in the determination of voting shareholder proxies:

- All proxies shall be voted by MCERA's equity investment managers consistent with their respective policies on proxy voting and in the best interest of the shareholders. The investment managers will provide a copy of their proxy voting guidelines to MCERA annually.
- For proxy proposals that are deemed by MCERA to merit review, MCERA may temporarily revoke an investment manager's proxy voting authority in writing. After MCERA has voted on the proxy, proxy voting responsibilities may be delegated (in writing) to the investment manager.
- The investment managers are required to report not less often than semi-annually on all proxy votes cast on MCERA's behalf, which will be reported to the MCERA Governance Committee.
- A record of said proxy votes shall be maintained in the Retirement Office.
- Where proxy voting has been delegated to a third-party provider, the Board shall
 determine if the third party will utilize MCERA's existing guidelines, adopt an existing
 policy being offered by the third party, or create customized guidelines for voting
 MCERA's proxies. Such proxy voting reporting will be in accordance with the provider's
 agreement with MCERA, which may be modified from time to time.

3. The Board of Directors

- 3.1 Annual Election of Directors
- 3.2 Director Elections
- 3.3 Independent Board
- 3.4 Independent Chair/Lead Director
- 3.5 All-independent Board Committees
- 3.6 Board Accountability to Shareholders
- 3.7 Board/Director Succession Planning and Evaluation

- 3.8 CEO Succession Planning
- 3.9 "Continuing Directors"
- 3.10 Board Size and Service
- 3.11 Board Operations
- 3.12 Auditor Independence
- 3.13 Charitable and Political Contributions
- **3.1 Annual Election of Directors**: All directors should be elected annually and should not be classified (staggered).
- **3.2 Director Elections**: Directors in uncontested elections should be elected by a majority of the votes cast. In contested elections, plurality voting should apply. An election is contested when there are more director candidates than there are available board seats. Directors who fail to receive the support of a majority of votes cast should step down from the board and not be reappointed. A modest transition period may be appropriate under certain circumstances, such as for directors keeping the company in compliance with legal or listing standards. But any director who does not receive the majority of votes cast should leave the board as soon as practicable.
- **3.3 Independent Board**: At least two-thirds of the directors should be independent; i.e., their seat on the board should be their only non-trivial professional, familial or financial connection to the corporation, its chairman, CEO or any other executive officer. The company should disclose information necessary for shareholders to determine whether directors qualify as independent, whether or not the disclosure is required by state or federal law. This information should include all financial or business relationships with and payments to directors and their families and all significant payments to companies, non-profits, foundations and other organizations where company directors serve as employees, officers or directors (see Explanatory Notes at Section 7, below).
- **3.4 Independent Chair/Lead Director**: The board should be chaired by an independent director. The CEO and chair roles should only be combined in very limited circumstances; in these situations, the board should provide a written statement in the proxy materials discussing why the combined role is in the best interests of shareholders, and it should name a lead independent director who should have approval over information flow to the board, meeting agendas and meeting schedules to ensure a structure that provides an appropriate balance between the powers of the CEO and those of the independent directors.

Other roles of the lead independent director should include chairing meetings of non-management directors and of independent directors, presiding over board meetings in the absence of the chair, serving as the principle liaison between the independent directors and the chair and leading the board/director evaluation process. Given these additional responsibilities, the lead independent director should expect to devote a greater amount of time to board service than the other directors.

3.5 All-independent Board Committees: Companies should have audit, nominating and compensation committees, and all members of these committees should be independent. The board (not the CEO) should appoint the committee chairs and members. Committees should be able to select their own service providers. Some regularly scheduled committee meetings should be held with only the committee members (and, if appropriate, the committee's

independent consultants) present. The process by which committee members and chairs are selected should be disclosed to shareholders.

3.6 Board Accountability to Shareholders

- **3.6a Majority Shareholder Votes**: Boards should take actions recommended in shareholder proposals that receive a majority of votes cast for and against. If shareholder approval is required for the action, the board should seek a binding vote on the action at the next shareholder meeting.
- **3.6b** Interaction with Shareholders: Directors should respond to communications from shareholders and should seek shareholder views on important governance, management and performance matters. All directors should attend the annual shareholders' meetings and be available, when requested by the chair, to answer shareholder questions. To accomplish this goal, all companies should establish board-shareholder communications policies. Such policies should disclose the ground rules by which directors will meet with shareholders. The policies should also include detailed contact information for at least one independent director (but preferably for the independent board chair and/or the independent lead director and the independent chairs of the audit, compensation and nominating committees).

Shareholder-director communication: All companies should also establish mechanisms by which shareholders with non-trivial concerns could communicate directly with all directors, including independent directors. At a minimum, there should be an open meeting in connection with the company's annual meeting (before or after) in which shareholders could ask questions and communicate their concerns to the independent directors. Policies requiring that all director communication go through a member of the management team should be avoided unless they are for record-keeping purposes. In such cases, procedures documenting receipt and delivery of the request to the board and its response must be maintained and made available to shareholders upon request. Directors should have access to all communications. Boards should determine whether outside counsel should be present at meetings with shareholders to monitor compliance with disclosure rules.

During the annual general meeting, shareholders should have the right to ask questions, both orally and in writing. Directors should provide answers or discuss the matters raised, regardless of whether the questions were submitted in advance. While reasonable time limits for questions are acceptable, the board should not ignore a question because it comes from a shareholder who holds a smaller number of shares or who has not held those shares for a certain length of time.

3.7 Board/Director Succession Planning and Evaluation

3.7a Board Succession Planning: The board should implement and disclose a board succession plan that involves preparing for future board retirements, committee assignment rotations, committee chair nominations and overall implementation of the company's long-term business plan. Boards should establish clear procedures to encourage and consider board nomination suggestions from long-term shareholders. The board should respond positively to shareholder requests seeking to discuss incumbent and potential directors.

3.7b Board Diversity: MCERA supports a diverse board. MCERA believes a diverse board has benefits that can enhance corporate financial performance, particularly in today's global market place. Nominating committee charters, or equivalent, ought to reflect that boards should be diverse, including such considerations as background, experience, age, race, gender, ethnicity, and culture.

Board evaluation. Boards should evaluate themselves and their individual members on a regular basis. Board evaluation should include an assessment of whether the board has the necessary diversity of skills, backgrounds, experiences, ages, races and genders appropriate to the company's ongoing needs. Individual director evaluations should include high standards for in-person attendance at board and committee meetings and disclosure of all absences or conference call substitutions.

3.7c Evaluation of Directors: Boards should review their own performance periodically. That evaluation should include a review of the performance and qualifications of any director who received "against" votes from a significant number of shareholders or for whom a significant number of shareholders withheld votes.

Boards should review the performance and qualifications of any director from whom at least 10 percent of the votes cast are withheld.

- **3.7d Board and Committee Meeting Attendance**: Absent compelling and stated reasons, directors who attend fewer than 75 percent of board and board-committee meetings for two consecutive years should not be renominated. Companies should disclose individual director attendance figures for board and committee meetings. Disclosure should distinguish between in-person and telephonic attendance. Excused absences should not be categorized as attendance.
- **3.8 CEO Succession Planning**: The board should approve and maintain a detailed CEO succession plan and publicly disclose the essential features. An integral facet of management succession planning involves collaboration between the board and the current chief executive to develop the next generation of leaders from within the company's ranks. Boards therefore should: (1) make sure that broad leadership development programs are in place generally; and (2) carefully identify multiple candidates for the CEO role specifically, well before the position needs to be filled.
- **3.9** "Continuing Directors": Corporations should not adopt so-called "continuing director" provisions (also known as "dead-hand" poison pills or "no-hand" provisions) that allow former directors who have left office to take action on behalf of the corporation. Such provisions are most commonly seen in connection with a potential change in control of the company) that allow board actions to be taken only by: (1) those continuing directors who were also in office when a specified event took place or (2) a combination of continuing directors plus new directors who are approved by such continuing directors.
- **3.10 Board Size and Service**: Absent compelling, unusual circumstances, a board should have no fewer than five and no more than 15 members (not too small to maintain the needed expertise and independence, and not too large to function efficiently). Shareholders should be allowed to vote on any major change in board size.

Companies should establish and publish guidelines specifying on how many other boards their directors may serve. Absent unusual, specified circumstances, directors with full-time jobs

should not serve on more than two other boards. Currently serving CEOs should not serve as a director of more than one other company, and then only if the CEO's own company is in the top half of its peer group. No person should serve on more than five for-profit company boards.

3.11 Board Operations

- **3.11a Informed Directors**: Directors should receive training from independent sources on their fiduciary responsibilities and liabilities. Directors have an affirmative obligation to become and remain independently familiar with company operations; they should not rely exclusively on information provided to them by the CEO to do their jobs. Directors should be provided meaningful information in a timely manner prior to board meetings and should be allowed reasonable access to management to discuss board issues.
- **3.11b Director Rights Regarding Board Agenda**: Any director should be allowed to place items on the board's agenda.
- **3.11c** Executive Sessions: The board should hold regularly scheduled executive sessions without the CEO or staff present. The independent directors should also hold regularly scheduled in-person executive sessions without non-independent directors, any of the management team or its staff present.

If the CEO is chairman, a contact director should be specified for directors, wishing to discuss issues or add agenda items that are not appropriately or best forwarded to the chair/CEO.

3.12 Auditor Independence

- **3.12a Audit Committee Responsibilities Regarding Outside Auditors**: As prescribed by law, the audit committee has the responsibility to hire, oversee and, if necessary, fire the company's outside auditor.
- **3.12b Competitive Bids**: The audit committee should seek competitive bids for the external audit on a periodic basis and seek to rotate audit firms on a periodic basis (e.g. every seven years or so) unless a reason to not do so is provided to shareholders.
- **3.12c Non-audit Services**: The company's external auditor's non-audit fees (i.e. consulting fees) should not be greater than 25 percent of total fees paid to the auditor.
- **3.12d Audit Committee Charters**: The proxy statement should include a copy of the audit committee charter and a statement by the audit committee that it has complied with the duties outlined in the charter.
- **3.12e** Liability of Outside Auditors: Companies should not agree to limit the liability of outside auditors.
- **3.12f Shareholder Votes on the Board's Choice of Outside Auditor**: Audit committee charters should provide for annual shareholder votes on the board's choice of independent, external auditor. Such provisions should state that if the board's selection fails to achieve the support of a majority of the for-and-against votes cast, the audit committee should: (1) take the shareholders' views into consideration and reconsider its

choice of auditor and (2) solicit the views of major shareholders to determine why broad levels of shareholder support were not achieved.

3.12g Disclosure of Reasons Behind Auditor Changes: The audit committee should publicly provide to shareholders a plain-English explanation of the reasons for a change in the company's external auditors. At a minimum, this disclosure should be contained in the same Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filing that companies are required to submit within four days of an auditor change.

3.13 Charitable and Political Contributions

- **3.13a Board Monitoring, Assessment and Approval**: The board of directors should monitor, assess and approve all charitable and political contributions (including trade association contributions) made by the company. The board should only approve contributions that are consistent with the interests of the company and its shareholders. The terms and conditions of such contributions should be clearly defined and approved by the board.
- **3.13b Disclosure**: The board should develop and disclose publicly its guidelines for approving charitable and political contributions. The board should disclose on an annual basis the amounts and recipients of all monetary and non-monetary contributions made by the company during the prior fiscal year. Any expenditures earmarked for political or charitable activities that were provided to or through a third-party should be included in the report.

4. Shareholder Voting Rights

- 4.1 Right to Vote is Inviolate
- 4.2 Access to the Proxy
- 4.3 One Share, One Vote
- 4.4 Advance Notice, Holding Requirements and Other Provisions
- 4.5 Confidential Voting
- 4.6 Voting Requirements
- 4.7 Broker Votes
- 4.8 Bundled Voting
- **4.1 Right to Vote is Inviolate**: The shareholders' right to vote is inviolate and should not be abridged.
- **4.2 Access to the Proxy**: Companies should provide access to management proxy materials for a long-term investor or group of long-term investors owning in aggregate at least three percent of a company's voting stock, to nominate less than a majority of the directors. Eligible long-term investors must have owned the stock for at least two years. Company proxy materials and related mailings should provide equal space and equal treatment of nominations by qualifying investors.

To allow for informed voting decisions, it is essential that investors have full and accurate

information about access mechanism users and their director nominees. Therefore, shareholders nominating director candidates under an access mechanism should adhere to the same SEC rules governing disclosure requirements and prohibitions on false and misleading statements that currently apply to proxy contests for board seats.

- **4.3 One Share, One Vote**: Each share of common stock should have one vote. Corporations should not have classes of common stock with disparate voting rights. Authorized, unissued common shares that have voting rights to be set by the board should not be issued with unequal voting rights without shareholder approval.
- **4.4 Advance Notice, Holding Requirements and Other Provisions**: Advance notice bylaws, holding requirements, disclosure rules and any other company imposed regulations on the ability of shareholders to solicit proxies beyond those required by law should not be so onerous as to deny sufficient time, limit the pool of eligible candidates, or otherwise make it impractical for shareholders to submit nominations or proposals and distribute supporting proxy materials.
- **4.5 Confidential Voting**: All proxy votes should be confidential, with ballots counted by independent tabulators. Confidentiality should be automatic, permanent and apply to all ballot items. Rules and practices concerning the casting, counting and verifying of shareholder votes should be clearly disclosed.
- **4.6 Voting Requirements**: A majority vote of common shares outstanding should be sufficient to amend company bylaws or take other action requiring or receiving a shareholder vote. Supermajority votes should not be required. A majority vote of common shares outstanding should be required to approve:
 - Major corporate decisions concerning the sale or pledge of corporate assets that would have a material effect on shareholder value. Such a transaction will automatically be deemed to have a material effect if the value of the assets exceeds 10 percent of the assets of the company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis;
 - The corporation's acquiring 5 percent or more of its common shares at above-market prices other than by tender offer to all shareholders;
 - Poison pills;
 - Abridging or limiting the rights of common shares to: (i) vote on the election or removal
 of directors or the timing or length of their term of office or (ii) make nominations
 directors or propose other action to be voted on by shareholders or (iii) call special
 meetings of shareholders or take action by written consent or affect the procedure for
 fixing the record date for such action;
 - Severance payments in excess of two times the person's average annual compensation for the previous three years.
 - *Provisions resulting in the* Issuance of debt to a degree that would excessively leverage the company and imperil the long-term viability of the corporation.
- **4.7 Broker Votes**: Broker non-votes votes and abstentions should be counted only for purposes of a quorum.

4.8 Bundled Voting: Shareholders should be allowed to vote on unrelated issues separately. Individual voting issues (particularly those amending a company's charter), bylaws or anti-takeover provisions should not be bundled.

Stock option plans. Shareholder approval should be required for all equity-based compensation plans that include any director or executive officer of the company, and on plans where the number of reserved shares, together with the company's outstanding equity-based awards and shares available for grant, may have a material impact on the capital structure of the company and the ownership interests of its shareholders (generally, 5 percent dilution).

5. Shareholder Meetings

- 5.1 Selection and Notification of Meeting Time and Location
- 5.2 Shareholder Rights to Call Special Meetings
- 5.3 Record Date and Ballot Item Disclosure
- 5.4 Timely Disclosure of Voting Results
- 5.5 Election Polls
- 5.6 Meeting Adjournment and Extension
- 5.7 Electronic Meetings
- 5.8 Director Attendance
- **5.1 Selection and Notification of Meeting Time and Location**: Corporations should make shareholders' expense and convenience of attendance primary criteria when selecting the time and location of shareholder meetings. Appropriate notice of shareholder meetings, including notice concerning any change in meeting date, time, place or shareholder action, should be given to shareholders in a manner and within time frames that will ensure that shareholders have a reasonable opportunity to exercise their franchise.
- **5.2 Shareholder Rights to Call Special Meetings**: Shareholders should have the right to call special meetings.
- **5.3 Record Date and Ballot Item Disclosure**: To promote the ability of shareholders to make informed decisions regarding whether to recall loaned shares: (1) shareholder meeting record dates should be disclosed as far in advance of the record date as possible, and (2) proxy statements should be disclosed before the record date passes whenever possible.
- **5.4 Timely Disclosure of Voting Results**: A company should broadly and publicly disclose in a timely manner the final results of votes cast at annual and special meetings of shareholders. Whenever possible, preliminary results should be announced at the annual or special meeting of shareholders.
- **5.5 Election Polls**: Polls should remain open at shareholder meetings until all agenda items have been discussed and shareholders have had an opportunity to ask and receive answers to questions concerning them.
- **5.6 Meeting Adjournment and Extension**: Companies should not adjourn a meeting for the purpose of soliciting more votes to enable management to prevail on a voting item. Extending a

meeting should only be for compelling reasons such as vote fraud, problems with the voting process or lack of a quorum.

- **5.7 Electronic Meetings**: Companies should hold shareholder meetings by remote communication (so-called electronic or "cyber" meetings) only as a supplement to traditional inperson shareholder meetings, not as a substitute.
- **5.8 Director Attendance**: As noted previously, all directors should attend the annual shareholders' meeting and be available, when requested by the chair, to answer shareholder questions, and respond directly to oral or written questions from shareholders.

6. <u>Director and Management Compensation</u>

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 CEO Pay
- 6.3 Advisory Shareholder Votes on Executive Pay
- 6.4 Gross-ups
- 6.5 Shareholder Approval of Equity-based Compensation Plans
- 6.6 Role of Compensation Committee
- 6.7 Salary
- 6.8 Annual Incentive Compensation
- 6.9 Long-term Incentive Compensation
- 6.10 Dilution
- 6.11 Stock Option Awards
- 6.12 Stock Awards/Units
- 6.13 Perquisites
- 6.14 Employment Contracts, Severance and Change-of-control Payments
- 6.15 Retirement Arrangements
- 6.16 Stock Ownership
- **6.1 Introduction**: MCERA believes that executive compensation is a critical and visible aspect of a company's governance. Pay decisions are one of the most direct ways for shareholders to assess the performance of the board. And they have a bottom line effect, not just in terms of dollar amounts, but also by formalizing performance goals for employees, signaling the market and affecting employee morale.

MCERA endorses reasonable, appropriately structured pay-for-performance programs that reward executives for sustainable, superior performance over the long-term, consistent with a company's investment horizon. "Long-term" is generally considered to be five or more years for mature companies and at least three years for other companies. While MCERA believes that executives should be well paid for superior performance, it also believes that executives should not be excessively paid. MCERA believes that executive pay proposals in which CEO compensation is 100 times greater than the median compensation of other company employees are excessive and detrimental to the long-term performance of the company and to the anticipated returns from investments in such companies of shareholders, like MCERA.

It is the job of the board of directors and the compensation committee specifically to ensure that executive compensation programs are effective, reasonable and rational with respect to critical factors such as company performance, industry considerations and compensation paid to other employees.

It is also the job of the compensation committee to ensure that elements of compensation packages are appropriately structured to enhance the company's short- and long-term strategic goals and to retain and motivate executives to achieve those strategic goals. Compensation programs should not be driven by competitive surveys, which have become excessive and subject to abuse. It is shareholders, not executives, whose money is at risk.

Since executive compensation must be tailored to meet unique company needs and situations, compensation programs must always be structured on a company-by-company basis. However, certain principles should apply to all companies.

6.2 CEO pay: Annual approval of at least a majority of a corporation's independent directors should be required for the CEO's compensation, including any bonus, severance, equity-based and/or extraordinary payment.

Boards should award CEOs no more than one form of equity-based compensation.

Pay for directors and managers should be indexed to peer or market groups, absent unusual and specified reasons for not doing so. Boards should consider options with forward contracts to align managers' interests with shareholders'.

- **6.3 Advisory Shareholder Votes on Executive Pay**: All companies should provide annually for advisory shareholder votes on the compensation of senior executives.
- **6.4 Gross-ups**: Senior executives should not receive gross-ups beyond those provided to all the company's employees.
- **6.5 Shareholder Approval of Equity-based Compensation Plans**: Current listing standards require shareholder approval of equity-based compensation plans and material amendments to plans (with limited exceptions). MCERA strongly supports this concept and advocates that companies adopt conservative interpretations of approval requirements when confronted with choices. (For example, this may include material amendments to the plan.)
- **6.6 Role of Compensation Committee**: The compensation committee is responsible for structuring executive pay and evaluating executive performance within the context of the pay structure of the entire company, subject to approval of the board of directors. To best handle this role, compensation committees should adopt the following principles and practices:
 - **6.6a Committee Composition**: All members of the compensation committee should be independent. Committee membership should rotate periodically among the board's independent directors. Members should be or take responsibility to become knowledgeable about compensation and related issues. They should exercise due diligence and independent judgment in carrying out their committee responsibilities. They should represent diverse backgrounds and professional experiences.
 - **6.6b Executive Pay Philosophy**: The compensation philosophy should be clearly disclosed to shareholders in annual proxy statements. In developing, approving and

monitoring the executive pay philosophy, the compensation committee should consider the full range of pay components, including structure of programs, desired mix of cash and equity awards, goals for distribution of awards throughout the company, the relationship of executive pay to the pay of other employees, use of employment contracts and policy regarding dilution.

- **6.6c Oversight**: The compensation committee should vigorously oversee all aspects of executive compensation for a group composed of the CEO and other highly paid executives, as required by law, and any other highly paid employees, including executives of subsidiaries, special purpose entities and other affiliates, as determined by the compensation committee. The committee should ensure that the structure of employee compensation throughout the company is fair, non-discriminatory and forward-looking, and that it motivates, recruits and retains a workforce capable of meeting the company's strategic objectives. To perform its oversight duties, the committee should approve, comply with and fully disclose a charter detailing its responsibilities.
- **6.6d Pay for Performance**: Compensation of the executive oversight group should be driven predominantly by performance. The compensation committee should establish performance measures for executive compensation that are agreed to ahead of time and publicly disclosed. Performance measures applicable to all performance-based awards (including annual and long-term incentive compensation) should reward superior performance—based predominantly on measures that drive long-term value creation—at minimum reasonable cost. Such measures should also reflect downside risk. The compensation committee should ensure that key performance metrics cannot be manipulated easily.
- **6.6e** Annual Approval and Review: Each year, the compensation committee should review performance of individuals in the oversight group and approve any bonus, severance, equity-based award or extraordinary payment made to them. The committee should understand all components of executive compensation and annually review total compensation potentially payable to the oversight group under all possible scenarios, including death/disability, retirement, voluntary termination, termination with and without cause and changes of control. The committee should also ensure that the structure of pay at different levels (CEO and others in the oversight group, other executives and non-executive employees) is fair and appropriate in the context of broader company policies and goals and fully justified and explained.
- **6.6f Committee Accountability**: In addition to attending all annual and special shareholder meetings, committee members should be available to respond directly to questions about executive compensation; the chair of the committee should take the lead. In addition, the committee should regularly report on its activities to the independent directors of the board, who should review and ratify committee decisions. Committee members should take an active role in preparing the compensation committee report contained in the annual proxy materials, and be responsible for the contents of that report.
- **6.6g Outside Advice**: The compensation committee should retain and fire outside experts, including consultants, legal advisers and any other advisers when it deems appropriate, including when negotiating contracts with executives. Individual compensation advisers and their firms should be independent of the client company, its executives and directors and should report solely to the compensation committee. The

compensation committee should develop and disclose a formal policy on compensation adviser independence. In addition, the committee should annually disclose an assessment of its advisers' independence, along with a description of the nature and dollar amounts of services commissioned from the advisers and their firms by the client company's management. Companies should not agree to indemnify or limit the liability of compensation advisers or the advisers' firms.

- **6.6h Clawbacks**: The compensation committee should develop and disclose a policy for reviewing unearned bonus and incentive payments that were awarded to executive officers owing to fraud, financial results that require restatement or some other cause. The policy should require recovery or cancellation of any unearned awards to the extent that it is feasible and practical to do so.
- **6.6i Disclosure Practices**: The compensation committee is responsible for ensuring that all aspects of executive compensation are clearly, comprehensively and promptly disclosed, in plain English, in the annual proxy statement regardless of whether such disclosure is required by current rules and regulations. The compensation committee should disclose all information necessary for shareholders to understand how and how much executives are paid and how such pay fits within the overall pay structure of the company. It should provide annual proxy statement disclosure of the committee's compensation decisions with respect to salary, short-term incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation and all other aspects of executive compensation, including the relative weights assigned to each component of total compensation.

The compensation committee should commit to provide full descriptions of the qualitative and quantitative performance measures and benchmarks used to determine compensation, including the weightings of each measure. At the beginning of a period, the compensation committee should calculate and disclose the maximum compensation payable if all performance-related targets are met. At the end of the performance cycle, the compensation committee should disclose actual targets and details on final payouts. Companies should provide forward-looking disclosure of performance targets whenever possible. Other recommended disclosures relevant to specific elements of executive compensation are detailed below.

6.6j Benchmarking: Benchmarking at median or higher levels is a primary contributor to escalating executive compensation. Although benchmarking can be a constructive tool for formulating executive compensation packages, it should not be relied on exclusively. If benchmarking is used, compensation committees should commit to annual disclosure of the companies in peer groups used for benchmarking and/or other comparisons. If the peer group used for compensation purposes differs from that used to compare overall performance, such as the five-year stock return graph required in the annual proxy materials, the compensation committee should describe the differences between the groups and the rationale for choosing between them. In addition to disclosing names of companies used for benchmarking and comparisons, the compensation committee should disclose targets for each compensation element relative to the peer/benchmarking group and year-to-year changes in companies composing peer/benchmark groups.

6.7 Salary

- **6.7a Salary Level**: Since salary is one of the few components of executive compensation that is not "at risk," it should be set at a level that yields the highest value for the company at least cost. In general, salary should be set to reflect responsibilities, tenure and past performance, and to be tax efficient—meaning no more than \$1 million.
- **6.7b Above-median Salary**: The compensation committee should publicly disclose its rationale for paying salaries above the median of the peer group.
- **6.8 Annual Incentive Compensation**: Cash incentive compensation plans should be structured to align executive interests with company goals and objectives. They should also reasonably reward superior performance that meets or exceeds well-defined and clearly disclosed performance targets that reinforce long-term strategic goals that were written and approved by the board in advance of the performance cycle.
 - **6.8a Formula Plans**: The compensation committee should approve formulaic bonus plans containing specific qualitative and quantitative performance-based operational measures designed to reward executives for superior performance related to operational/strategic/other goals set by the board. Such awards should be capped at a reasonable maximum level. These caps should not be calculated as percentages of accounting or other financial measures (such as revenue, operating income or net profit), since these figures may change dramatically due to mergers, acquisitions and other non-performance-related strategic or accounting decisions.
 - **6.8b Targets**: When setting performance goals for "target" bonuses, the compensation committee should set performance levels below which no bonuses would be paid and above which bonuses would be capped.
 - **6.8c Changing Targets**: Except in extraordinary situations, the compensation committee should not "lower the bar" by changing performance targets in the middle of bonus cycles. If the committee decides that changes in performance targets are warranted in the middle of a performance cycle, it should disclose the reasons for the change and details of the initial targets and adjusted targets.
- **6.9 Long-term Incentive Compensation**: Long-term incentive compensation, generally in the form of equity-based awards, can be structured to achieve a variety of long-term objectives, including retaining executives, aligning executives' financial interests with the interests of shareholders and rewarding the achievement of long-term specified strategic goals of the company and/or the superior performance of company stock.

But poorly structured awards permit excessive or abusive pay that is detrimental to the company and to shareholders. To maximize effectiveness and efficiency, compensation committees should carefully evaluate the costs and benefits of long-term incentive compensation, ensure that long-term compensation is appropriately structured and consider whether performance and incentive objectives would be enhanced if awards were distributed throughout the company, not simply to top executives.

Companies may rely on a myriad of long-term incentive vehicles to achieve a variety of long-term objectives, including performance-based restricted stock/units, phantom shares, stock units and stock options. While the technical underpinnings of long-term incentive awards may

differ, the following principles and practices apply to all long-term incentive compensation awards. And, as detailed below, certain policies are relevant to specific types of long-term incentive awards.

- **6.9a Size of Awards**: Compensation committees should set appropriate limits on the size of long-term incentive awards granted to executives. So-called "mega-awards" or outsized awards should be avoided, except in extraordinary circumstances, because they can be disproportionate to performance.
- **6.9b Vesting Requirements**: All long-term incentive awards should have meaningful performance periods and/or cliff vesting requirements that are consistent with the company's investment horizon but not less than three years, followed by pro rata vesting over at least two subsequent years for senior executives.
- **6.9c Grant Timing**: Except in extraordinary circumstances, such as a permanent change in performance cycles, long-term incentive awards should be granted at the same time each year. Companies should not coordinate stock award grants with the release of material non-public information. The grants should occur whether recently publicized information is positive or negative, and stock options should never be backdated.
- **6.9d Hedging**: Compensation committees should prohibit executives and directors from hedging (by buying puts and selling calls or employing other risk-minimizing techniques) equity-based awards granted as long-term incentive compensation or other stock holdings in the company. And they should strongly discourage other employees from hedging their holdings in company stock.
- **6.9e Philosophy/Strategy**: Compensation committees should have a well-articulated philosophy and strategy for long-term incentive compensation that is fully and clearly disclosed in the annual proxy statement.
- **6.9f Award Specifics**: Compensation committees should disclose the size, distribution, vesting requirements, other performance criteria and grant timing of each type of long-term incentive award granted to the executive oversight group. Compensation committees also should explain how each component contributes to the company's long-term performance objectives.
- **6.9g Ownership Targets**: Compensation committees should disclose whether and how long-term incentive compensation may be used to satisfy meaningful stock ownership requirements. Disclosure should include any post-exercise holding periods or other requirements to ensure that long-term incentive compensation is used appropriately to meet ownership targets.
- **6.9h Expiration Dates**: Compensation plans should have expiration dates and not be structured as "evergreen," rolling plans.
- **6.10 Dilution**: Dilution measures how much the additional issuance of stock may reduce existing shareholders' stake in a company. Dilution is particularly relevant for long-term incentive compensation plans since these programs essentially issue stock at below-market prices to the recipients. The potential dilution represented by long-term incentive compensation plans is a direct cost to shareholders.

Dilution from long-term incentive compensation plans may be evaluated using a variety of techniques including the reduction in earnings per share and voting power resulting from the increase in outstanding shares.

- **6.10a Philosophy/Strategy**: Compensation committees should develop and disclose the philosophy regarding dilution including definition(s) of dilution, peer group comparisons and specific targets for annual awards and total potential dilution represented by equity compensation programs for the current year and expected for the subsequent four years.
- **6.10b** Stock Repurchase Programs: Stock buyback decisions are a capital allocation decision and should not be driven solely for the purpose of minimizing dilution from equity-based compensation plans. The compensation committee should provide information about stock repurchase programs and the extent to which such programs are used to minimize the dilution of equity-based compensation plans.
- **6.10c Tabular Disclosure**: The annual proxy statement should include a table detailing the overhang represented by unexercised options and shares available for award and a discussion of the impact of the awards on earnings per share.
- **6.11 Stock Option Awards**: Stock options give holders the right, but not the obligation, to buy stock in the future. Options may be structured in a variety of ways. Some structures and policies are preferable because they more effectively ensure that executives are compensated for superior performance. Other structures and policies are inappropriate and should be prohibited.
 - **6.11a Performance Options**: Stock options should be: (1) indexed to peer groups or (2) premium-priced and/or (3) vest on achievement of specific performance targets that are based on challenging quantitative goals.
 - **6.11b Dividend Equivalents**: To ensure that executives are neutral between dividends and stock price appreciation, dividend equivalents should be granted with stock options, but distributed only upon exercise of the option.
 - **6.11c Discount Options**: Discount options should not be awarded.
 - **6.11d Reload Options**: Reload options should be prohibited.
 - **6.11e Option Repricing**: Unless submitted to shareholders for approval, no "underwater" options should be repriced or replaced, and no discount options should be awarded, unless approved by shareholders. Repricing programs, with shareholder approval, should exclude directors and executives, restart vesting periods and mandate value-for-value exchanges in which options are exchanged for a number of equivalently valued options/shares.

Companies should disclose in their annual proxy statement whether they have rescinded and re-granted options exercised by executive officers during the prior year or if executive officers have hedged (by buying puts and selling calls or employing other risk-minimizing techniques) shares awarded to them as stock-based incentive or acquired through options granted by the company. Such practices reduce the risk of stock-based

incentive compensation awarded to executive officers and should be disclosed to shareholders.

Stock option expensing. Since stock options granted to employees, directors and nonemployees are compensation and have a cost, companies should include these costs as an expense on their reported income statements with appropriate valuation assumptions disclosed.

6.12 Stock Awards/Units: Stock awards/units and similar equity-based vehicles generally grant holders stock based on the attainment of performance goals and/or tenure requirements. These types of awards are more expensive to the company than options, since holders generally are not required to pay to receive the underlying stock, and therefore should be limited in size.

Stock awards should be linked to the attainment of specified performance goals and in some cases to additional time-vesting requirements. Stock awards should not be payable based solely on the attainment of tenure requirements.

- **6.13 Perquisites**: Company perquisites blur the line between personal and business expenses. Executives, not companies, should be responsible for paying personal expenses—particularly those that average employees routinely shoulder, such as family and personal travel, financial planning, club memberships and other dues. The compensation committee should ensure that any perquisites are warranted and have a legitimate business purpose, and it should consider capping all perquisites at a de minimis level. Total perquisites should be described, disclosed and valued.
- **6.14 Employment Contracts, Severance and Change-of-control Payments**: Various arrangements may be negotiated to outline terms and conditions for employment and to provide special payments following certain events, such as a termination of employment with/without cause and/or a change in control. MCERA believes that these arrangements should be used on a limited basis.
 - **6.14a Employment Contracts**: Companies should only provide employment contracts to executives in limited circumstances, such as to provide modest, short-term employment security to a newly hired or recently promoted executive. Such contracts should have a specified termination date (not to exceed three years); contracts should not be "rolling" on an open-ended basis.
 - **6.14b Severance Payments**: Executives should not be entitled to severance payments in the event of termination for poor performance, resignation under pressure or failure to renew an employment contract. Company payments awarded upon death or disability should be limited to compensation already earned or vested.
 - **6.14c Change-in-control provisions**. Change-in-control provisions in compensation plans and compensation agreements should be "double-triggered," stipulating that compensation is payable only (1) after a control change actually takes place and (2) if a covered executive's job is terminated as a result of the control change.
 - **6.14d Transparency**: The compensation committee should fully and clearly describe the terms and conditions of employment contracts and any other agreements/arrangements covering the executive oversight group and reasons why the

compensation committee believes the agreements are in the best interests of shareholders.

- **6.14e Timely Disclosure**: New executive employment contracts or amendments to existing contracts should be immediately disclosed in 8-K filings and promptly disclosed in subsequent 10-Qs.
- **6.14f Shareholder Ratification**: Shareholders should ratify all employment contracts, side letters or other agreements providing for severance, change-in-control or other special payments to executives exceeding 2.99 times average annual salary plus annual bonus for the previous three years.
- **6.15 Retirement Arrangements**: Deferred compensation plans, supplemental executive retirement plans, retirement packages and other retirement arrangements for highly paid executives can result in hidden and excessive benefits. Special retirement arrangements—including those structured to permit employees whose compensation exceeds Internal Revenue Service (IRS) limits to fully participate in similar plans covering other employees—should be consistent with programs offered to the general workforce, and they should be reasonable.
 - **6.15a** Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs): Supplemental plans should be an extension of the retirement program covering other employees. They should not include special provisions that are not offered under plans covering other employees, such as above-market interest rates and excess service credits. Payments such as stock and stock options, annual/long-term bonuses and other compensation not awarded to other employees and/or not considered in the determination of retirement benefits payable to other employees should not be considered in calculating benefits payable under SERPs.
 - **6.15b Deferred Compensation Plans**: Investment alternatives offered under deferred compensation plans for executives should mirror those offered to employees in broadbased deferral plans. Above-market returns should not be applied to executive deferrals, nor should executives receive "sweeteners" for deferring cash payments into company stock.
 - **6.15c Post-retirement Exercise Periods**: Executives should be limited to three-year post-retirement exercise periods for stock option grants.
 - **6.15d Retirement Benefits**: Executives should not be entitled to special perquisites— such as apartments, automobiles, use of corporate aircraft, security, financial planning— and other benefits upon retirement. Executives are highly compensated employees who should be more than able to cover the costs of their retirement.

6.16 Stock Ownership

6.16a Director stock ownership. Absent unusual and compelling circumstances, all directors should own company common stock, in addition to any options and unvested shares granted to them by the company. Directors should own a meaningful position in the company's common stock, appropriate to their personal circumstances.

Ownership Requirements: Executives and directors should own, after a reasonable period of time, a meaningful position in the company's common stock. Executives

should be required to own stock—excluding unexercised options and unvested stock awards—equal to a multiple of salary. The multiple should be scaled based on position, such as two times salary for lower-level executives and up to six times salary for the CEO.

- **6.16b Stock Sales**: Executives should be required to sell stock through pre-announced 10b5-1 program sales or by providing a minimum 30-day advance notice of any stock sales. 10b5-1 program adoptions, amendments, terminations and transactions should be disclosed immediately, and boards of companies using 10b5-1 plans should: (1) adopt policies covering plan practices, (2) periodically monitor plan transactions and (3) ensure that company policies discuss plan use in the context of guidelines or requirements on equity hedging, holding and ownership.
- **6.16c Post-retirement Holdings**: Executives should be required to continue to satisfy the minimum stock holding requirements for at least six months after leaving the company.
- **6.16d Transparency**: Companies should disclose stock ownership requirements and whether any members of the executive oversight group are not in compliance.

7. <u>Director Compensation</u>

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Role of the Compensation Committee in Director Compensation
- 7.3 Retainer
- 7.4 Equity-based Compensation
- 7.5 Performance-based Compensation
- 7.6 Perquisites
- 7.7 Repricing and Exchange Programs
- 7.8 Employment Contracts, Severance and Change-of-control Payments
- 7.9 Retirement
- 7.10 Disgorgement
- **7.1 Introduction**: Given the vital importance of their responsibilities, non-employee directors should expect to devote significant time to their boardroom duties.

Policy issues related to director compensation are fundamentally different from executive compensation. Director compensation policies should accomplish the following goals: (1) attract highly qualified candidates, (2) retain highly qualified directors, (3) align directors' interests with those of the long-term owners of the corporation and (4) provide complete disclosure to shareholders regarding all components of director compensation including the philosophy behind the program and all forms of compensation.

To accomplish these goals, director compensation should consist solely of a combination of cash retainer and equity-based compensation. The cornerstone of director compensation programs should be alignment of interests through the attainment of significant equity holdings

in the company meaningful to each individual director. MCERA believes that equity obtained with an individual's own capital provides the best alignment of interests with other shareholders. However, compensation plans can provide supplemental means of obtaining long-term equity holdings through equity compensation, long-term holding requirements and ownership requirements.

Companies should have flexibility within certain broad policy parameters to design and implement director compensation plans that suit their unique circumstances. To support this flexibility, investors must have complete and clear disclosure of both the philosophy behind the compensation plan as well as the actual compensation awarded under the plan. Without full disclosure, it is difficult to earn investors' confidence and support for director and executive compensation plans.

Although non-employee director compensation is generally immaterial to a company's bottom line and small relative to executive pay, director compensation is an important piece of a company's governance. Because director pay is set by the board and has inherent conflicts of interest, care must be taken to ensure there is no appearance of impropriety. Companies should pay particular attention to managing these conflicts.

- **7.2 Role of the Compensation Committee in Director Compensation**: The compensation committee (or alternative committee comprised solely of independent directors) is responsible for structuring director pay, subject to approval of all the independent directors, so that it is aligned with the long-term interests of shareholders. Because directors set their own compensation, the following practices should be emphasized:
 - **7.2a Total Compensation Review**: The compensation committee should understand and value each component of director compensation and annually review total compensation potentially payable to each director.
 - **7.2b Outside Advice**: Committees should have the ability to hire a compensation consultant for assistance on director compensation plans. In cases where the compensation committee does use a consultant, it should always retain an independent compensation consultant or other advisers it deems appropriate to assist with the evaluation of the structure and value of director compensation. A summary of the pay consultant's advice should be provided in the annual proxy statement in plain English. The compensation committee should disclose all instances where the consultant is also retained by the committee to provide advice on executive compensation.
 - **7.2c Compensation Committee Report**: The annual director compensation disclosure included in the proxy materials should include a discussion of the philosophy for director pay and the processes for setting director pay levels. Reasons for changes in director pay programs should be explained in plain English. Peer group(s) used to compare director pay packages should be fully disclosed, along with differences, if any, from the peer group(s) used for executive pay purposes. While peer analysis can be valuable, peer-relative justification should not dominate the rationale for (higher) pay levels. Rather, compensation programs should be appropriate for the circumstances of the company. The report should disclose how many committee meetings involved discussions of director pay.

7.3 Retainer

- **7.3a Amount of Annual Retainer**: The annual retainer should be the sole form of cash compensation paid to non-employee directors. Ideally, it should reflect an amount appropriate for a director's expected duties, including attending meetings, preparing for meetings/discussions and performing due diligence on sites/operations (which should include routine communications with a broad group of employees). In some combination, the retainer and the equity component also reflect the director's contribution from experience and leadership. Retainer amounts may be differentiated to recognize that certain non-employee directors—possibly including independent board chairs, independent lead directors, committee chairs or members of certain committees—are expected to spend more time on board duties than other directors.
- **7.3b Meeting Attendance Fees**: Directors should not receive any meeting attendance fees since attending meetings is the most basic duty of a non-employee director.
- **7.3c Director Attendance Policy**: The board should have a clearly defined attendance policy. If the committee imposes financial consequences (loss of a portion of the retainer or equity) for missing meetings as part of the director compensation program, this should be fully disclosed. Financial consequences for poor attendance, while perhaps appropriate in some circumstances, should not be considered in lieu of examining the attendance record, commitment (time spent on director duties) and contribution in any review of director performance and in re-nomination decisions.
- **7.4 Equity-based Compensation**: Equity-based compensation can be an important component of director compensation. These tools are perhaps best suited to instill optimal long-term perspective and alignment of interests with shareholders. To accomplish this objective, director compensation should contain an ownership requirement or incentive and minimum holding period requirements.
 - **7.4a Vesting of Equity-based Awards**: To complement the annual retainer and align director-shareholder interests, non-employee directors should receive stock awards or stock-related awards such as phantom stock or share units. Equity-based compensation to non-employee directors should be fully vested on the grant date. This point is a marked difference to MCERA's policy on executive compensation, which calls for performance-based vesting of equity-based awards. While views on this topic are mixed, MCERA believes that the benefits of immediate vesting outweigh the complications. The main benefits are the immediate alignment of interests with shareholders and the fostering of independence and objectivity for the director.
 - **7.4b Ownership Requirements**: Ownership requirements should be at least three to five times annual compensation. However, some qualified director candidates may not have financial means to meet immediate ownership thresholds. For this reason, companies may set either a minimum threshold for ownership or offer an incentive to build ownership. This concept should be an integral component of the committee's disclosure related to the philosophy of director pay. It is appropriate to provide a reasonable period of time for directors to meet ownership requirements or guidelines.
 - **7.4c Holding Periods**: Separate from ownership requirements, MCERA believes companies should adopt holding requirements for a significant majority of equity-based grants. Directors should be required to retain a significant portion (such as 80 percent)

of equity grants until after they retire from the board. These policies should also prohibit the use of any transactions or arrangements that mitigate the risk or benefit of ownership to the director. Such transactions and arrangements inhibit the alignment of interests that equity compensation and ownership requirements provide.

- **7.4d Mix of Cash and Equity-based Compensation**: Companies should have the flexibility to set and adjust the split between equity-based and cash compensation as appropriate for their circumstances. The rationale for the ratio used is an important element of disclosures related to the overall philosophy of director compensation and should be disclosed.
- **7.4e Transparency**: The present value of equity awards paid to each director during the previous year and the philosophy and process used in determining director pay should be fully disclosed in the proxy statement.
- **7.4f Shareholder Approval**: Current listing standards require shareholder approval of equity-based compensation plans and material amendments to plans (with limited exceptions). Companies should adopt conservative interpretations of approval requirements when confronted with choices.
- **7.5 Performance-based Compensation**: While MCERA is a strong advocate of performance-based concepts in executive compensation, we do not support performance measures in director compensation. Performance-based compensation for directors creates potential conflicts with the director's primary role as an independent representative of shareholders.
- **7.6 Perquisites**: Directors should not receive perquisites other than those that are meeting-related, such as air-fare, hotel accommodations and modest travel/accident insurance. Health, life and other forms of insurance; matching grants to charities; financial planning; automobile allowances and other similar perquisites cross the line as benefits offered to employees. Charitable awards programs are an unnecessary benefit; directors interested in posthumous donations can do so on their own via estate planning. Infrequent token gifts of modest value are not considered perquisites.
- **7.7 Repricing and Exchange Programs**: Under no circumstances should directors participate in or be eligible for repricing or exchange programs.
- **7.8 Employment Contracts, Severance and Change-of-control Payments**: Non-employee directors should not be eligible to receive any change-in-control payments or severance arrangements.

7.9 Retirement Arrangements

- **7.9a Retirement Benefits**: Since non-employee directors are elected representatives of shareholders and not company employees, they should not be offered retirement benefits, such as defined benefit plans or deferred stock awards, nor should they be entitled to special post-retirement perquisites.
- **7.9b Deferred Compensation Plans**: Directors may defer cash pay via a deferred compensation plan for directors. However, such investment alternatives offered under deferred compensation plans for directors should mirror those offered to employees in

broad-based deferral plans. Non-employee directors should not receive "sweeteners" for deferring cash payments into company stock.

7.10 Disgorgement: Directors should be required to repay compensation to the company in the event of malfeasance or a breach of fiduciary duty involving the director.

8. Explanatory Notes: MCERA's Definition of Independent Director

- 8.1 Introduction
- 8.2 Basic Definition of an Independent Director
- 8.3 Guidelines for Assessing Director Independence
- **8.1 Introduction**: Members of MCERA believe a narrowly drawn definition of "independent (coupled with a policy specifying that at least two-thirds of board members should meet this standard) is in the corporation's and all shareholders' ongoing financial interest because:
 - Independence is critical to a properly functioning board;
 - Certain clearly definable relationships pose a threat to a director's unqualified independence;
 - The effect of a conflict of interest on an individual director is likely to be almost impossible to detect, either by shareholders or other board members; and
 - While an across-the-board application of any definition to a large number of people will
 inevitably miscategorize a few of them, this risk is sufficiently small and is far outweighed
 by the significant benefits.

Independent directors do not invariably share a single set of qualities that are not shared by non-independent directors. Consequently no clear rule can unerringly describe and distinguish independent directors. However, the independence of the director depends on all relationships the director has, including relationships between directors, that may compromise the director's objectivity and loyalty to shareholders. Directors have an obligation to consider all relevant facts and circumstances to determine whether a director should be considered independent.

- **8.2 Basic Definition of an Independent Director**: An independent director is someone whose only nontrivial professional, familial or financial connection to the corporation, its chairman, CEO or any other executive officer is his or her directorship. Stated most simply, an independent director is a person whose directorship constitutes his or her only connection to the corporation.
- **8.3 Guidelines for Assessing Director Independence**: The notes that follow are supplied to give added clarity and guidance in interpreting the specified relationships. Following are the relationships that MCERA members believe pose the greatest threat to a director's independence. A director will not generally be considered independent if he or she:
 - **8.3a** Is, or in the past five years has been, or whose relative is, or in the past five years has been, employed by the corporation or employed by or a director of an affiliate;

NOTES: An "affiliate" relationship is established if one entity either alone or pursuant to an arrangement with one or more other persons, owns or has the power to vote more than 20 percent of the equity interest in another, unless some other person, either alone or pursuant to an arrangement with one or more other persons, owns or has the power to vote a greater percentage of the equity interest. For these purposes, joint venture partners and general partners meet the definition of an affiliate, and officers and employees of joint venture enterprises and general partners are considered affiliated. A subsidiary is an affiliate if it is at least 20 percent owned by the corporation.

Affiliates include predecessor companies. A "predecessor" is an entity that within the last five years was party to a "merger of equals" with the corporation or represented more than 50 percent of the corporation's sales or assets when such predecessor became part of the corporation.

"Relatives" include spouses, parents, children, step-children, siblings, mothers and fathers-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-law, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews and first cousins, and anyone sharing the director's home.

8.3b Is, or in the past five years has been, or whose relative is, or in the past five years has been, an employee, director or greater-than-20-percent owner of a firm that is one of the corporation's or its affiliate's paid advisers or consultants or that receives revenue of at least \$50,000 for being a paid adviser or consultant to an executive officer of the corporation;

NOTES: Advisers or consultants include, but are not limited to, law firms, auditors, accountants, insurance companies and commercial/investment banks. For purposes of this definition, an individual serving "of counsel" to a firm will be considered an employee of that firm.

The term "executive officer" includes the chief executive, operating, financial, legal and accounting officers of a company. This includes the president, treasurer, secretary, controller and any vice-president who is in charge of a principal business unit, division or function (such as sales, administration or finance) or performs a major policymaking function for the corporation.

- **8.3c** Is, or in the past five years has been, or whose relative is, or in the past five years has been, employed by or has had a five percent or greater ownership interest in a third-party that provides payments to or receives payments from the corporation and either: (i) such payments account for one percent of the third-party's or one percent of the corporation's consolidated gross revenues in any single fiscal year; or (ii) if the third-party is a debtor or creditor of the corporation and the amount owed exceeds one percent of the corporation's or third party's assets. Ownership means beneficial or record ownership, not custodial ownership;
- **8.3d** Has, or in the past five years has had, or whose relative has paid or received more than \$50,000 in the past five years under, a personal contract with the corporation, an executive officer or any affiliate of the corporation;

NOTES: Council members believe that even small personal contracts, no matter how formulated, can threaten a director's complete independence. This includes any arrangement under which the director borrows or lends money to the corporation at rates

better (for the director) than those available to normal customers—even if no other services from the director are specified in connection with this relationship;

8.3e Is, or in the past five years has been, or whose relative is, or in the past five years has been, an employee or director of a foundation, university or other non-profit organization that receives significant grants or endowments from the corporation, one of its affiliates or its executive officers or has been a direct beneficiary of any donations to such an organization;

NOTES: A "significant grant or endowment" is the lesser of \$100,000 or one percent of total annual donations received by the organization.

- **8.3f** Is, or in the past five years has been, or whose relative is, or in the past five years has been, part of an interlocking directorate in which the CEO or other employee of the corporation serves on the board of a third-party entity (for-profit or not-for-profit) employing the director or such relative;
- **8.3g** Has a relative who is, or in the past five years has been, an employee, a director or a five percent or greater owner of a third-party entity that is a significant competitor of the corporation; or
- **8.3h** Is a party to a voting trust, agreement or proxy giving his/her decision making power as a director to management except to the extent there is a fully disclosed and narrow voting arrangement such as those which are customary between venture capitalists and management regarding the venture capitalists' board seats.

The foregoing describes relationships between directors and the corporation. MCERA also believes that it is important to discuss relationships between directors on the same board which may threaten either director's independence. A director's objectivity as to the best interests of the shareholders is of utmost importance and connections between directors outside the corporation may threaten such objectivity and promote inappropriate voting blocks. As a result, directors must evaluate all of their relationships with each other to determine whether the director is deemed independent. The board of directors shall investigate and evaluate such relationships using the care, skill, prudence and diligence that a prudent person acting in a like capacity would use.

9. Policy Review

The Board will endeavor to review this Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policy at least every three years to assure is efficacy and relevance, with the intention of timely considering substantive edits that may be made to the Council of Institutional Investors' Corporate Governance Policy, on which this Policy is based. This Proxy Voting and Corporate Governance Policy may be amended from time to time by majority vote of the Board.

10. Certificate

I, Jeff Wickman, the duly appointed Retirement Administrator of the Marin County Employees' Retirement Association, hereby certify that this policy was reviewed and amended by the Marin County Employees' Retirement Association on May 3, 2023.

Retirement Administrator